

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,288	VERMEHREN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Venkataraman Balasubramanian.

(2) Howard Lee.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
Claim 12

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In the dependent claim 12, the variable X = halogen, which is not present in claim 1, was discussed. Counselor authorized to cancel X=halogen.. Such will be done by examiner's amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)